SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
2015.09.04 15:21
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 5570 of 2015 (O/M)
Date of decision : 3.9.2015

District Horticulture Officer, Narnaul, and another ..... Revisionists

Versus

Nitin Sharma .....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sanchit Punia, Advocate, for the revisionists.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-.- -.-

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Impugned in the present revision is the order dated 24.4.2015 (Annexure-P-3), passed by the learned Presiding Officer, Labour Court-II, Gurgaon, vide which the evidence of the management was closed by orders. Also impugned in the present revision is the order dated 24.7.2015 (Annexure-P-4), passed by the Presiding Officer, Labour Court-II, Gurgaon, vide which the application for recalling the order dated 24.4.2015 (Annexure-P-3), was dismissed.

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
2015.09.04 15:21
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

Learned counsel for the revisionists states that the evidence of the management was closed by order after granting last opportunity. It is stated that three opportunities were granted and that the post of District Horticulture Officer was lying vacant. Therefore, the evidence could not be led. It is further stated that the witness reached late in the Court.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the opposite party, the revisionists are granted one more opportunity to produce the entire evidence at their own risk and responsibility, subject to payment of Rs. 2,000/- as costs, to be deposited in the District Legal Aid Fund, Gurgaon.

The present revision is accordingly allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

3.9.2015
sjks