

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 5552 of 2015 (O/M)
Date of decision : 27.8.2015

Nirmla

..... Revisionist

Versus

Usha and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Virendra Rana, Advocate, for the revisionist.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Impugned in the present revision is the order dated 6.5.2015 (Annexure-P-5), passed by the learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Nuh, Mewat, vide which the application of the plaintiffs to sue as indigent persons, was allowed.

The perusal of the impugned order shows that the lower Court had called for the report of District Collector and after examining the report of the District Collector and hearing the objections of the present revisionists/defendants, the order was passed.

CR No. 5552 of 2015 (O/M)

I am of the view that since the entire matter has been examined, therefore, no interference in the impugned order is called for by this Court, while exercising the revisional powers.

The present revision is accordingly dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

27.8.2015
sjks