

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

CR No. 5548 of 2015
Date of decision : August 27, 2015

Monika Garg and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

Punjab and Sind Bank

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that vide impugned order defence of the petitioners-defendants to file written statement has been struck off. The written statement was not filed on the ground that certain documents which were required to be seen have not been traced out in order to make specific averments and thereafter a specific plea had to be taken in the written statement. He submits that in case, one opportunity is granted, he will file the written statement. He submits that the next date of hearing before the trial court is 29.8.2015. However, one week may be given to file written statement.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners and appraised the paper book.

Since the suit has been instituted for recovery. In case the written statement is not filed, it would result in miscarriage of justice. In order to advance the ends of justice, I deem it appropriate to set aside the impugned order and grant one opportunity to the petitioners-defendants to file written statement within one week subject to payment of costs of ₹10,000/- to the respondents before the court below.

The revision petition is disposed of without issuing notice of motion as the respondent has been compensated in terms of cost.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

August 27 , 2015
archana