

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.5249 of 2014
Date of Decision.06.07.2015

Hussan Lal and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

Prem Singh and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Onkar Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-. -

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The revision petition is filed against the order permitting a withdrawal on the ground that there is no other provision available for challenging it and only a revision petition is the appropriate remedy.

2. If the contention is that the advocate who acted on behalf of the party had no instruction to report a compromise or withdraw the matter, it ought to be a subject of consideration before the very same Court on a petition filed by party contending of lack of instruction and want of authority to the counsel who made that report. It is not possible for this Court to examine whether the counsel who acted on behalf of the party had the necessary authority to do so or what was the basis on which the counsel acted. It would involve evidence which is not possible before this Court to make an appraisal of.

3. The revision petition is dismissed but the petitioner will have a liberty of approaching the same Court for applying recall of the

order on the facts and circumstances disclosed and if such a petition is filed, an objection will be called for from the other side and the Court will make an adjudication on the justification proffered by the party for recalling the order allowing for withdrawal of petition.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

July 06, 2015
Pankaj*