

244.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.5217 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: 30.11.2015

Athar Hassan

... Petitioner

versus

Idrish and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr.Harish Goyal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has not taken steps to implead the legal representatives for the first respondent.

2. The revision petitioner is aggrieved against the direction of the Rent Controller in directing the presence of the original allottee. There can be no compulsion against any party to produce any witness. The Rent Controller may draw appropriate inferences if such a direction is not complied with but will not compel the person to do so. This assumes significance all the more in view of the fact that the Rent Controller will confine himself to the adjudication of status of parties as landlord and tenant and will not enlarge a scope for adjudication on title or requiring any person to be produced before the Rent Controller. The order already passed is set aside and the revision petition is allowed.

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

30.11.2015

sanjeev