

**109 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.5498 of 2015**

**Date of Decision: August 26, 2015**

**Janara and another**

**.... Petitioners**

**vs.**

**Omwati**

**.... Respondent**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

**Present:** Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

**Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)**

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 04.08.2015 (Annexur P-3) passed by learned Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Samalkha, whereby the evidence of the defendants-petitioners was closed by order.

Learned counsel for the defendant-petitioner states that the defendant-petitioner may be granted one opportunity to conclude his evidence at his own risk and responsibility.

As such, the impugned order is set aside and the defendant-petitioner is granted one opportunity to conclude his evidence at his own risk and responsibility by taking summons *dasti*, subject to payment of costs of ₹2,000/- to be deposited in the District Legal Aid Funds. The court will not compel the appearance of any witness.

The present revision petition is allowed accordingly.

**(KULDIP SINGH)  
JUDGE**

**August 26, 2015**  
sarita