

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.539 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of decision : 21.09.2015**

Prem Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

Rekha Sharma & anr.

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.KANNAN

Present: Mr. K.B.Raheja, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rishav Jain, Advocate
for the respondents.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

A questionable practice that is prevalent among parties at this Court to seek for opportunities 1, 2, 3 and many more, will let go such opportunities time and again and arrive here for one more opportunity for evidence. The petitioner does not appear to have learnt his lesson, for, I notice that the plaintiff had already arrived to this Court, took an opportunity but still faulted. The explanation given is that the counsel did not cause necessary representation to inform the Court that the parties were available for evidence but let go the opportunity to produce them when the case was called.

I impose costs of Rs.25,000/- to the plaintiff to be given to defendant No.2 to avail himself the benefit of reopening his case only for completion of cross-examination on the day when the date is fixed for such cross-examination. If the amount is not paid, the opportunity granted now shall be withdrawn and the order passed already will stand confirmed.

The present revision petition stands disposed of with the above-said observations.

21.09.2015

sonia

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**