

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.5481 of 2015

Date of Decision.09.09.2015

Mohammad Salim

.....Petitioner

Versus

Hanifan and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Jai Bhagwan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. I will not make any interference in favour of the plaintiff for consolidation of two suits in a situation where in one suit, he is not even a party. It appears that he has filed an application for impleadment and application has not been considered and orders passed. So long as orders are not passed, he is entitled to state that the decree obtained by the defendant in his own suit against public authorities will not bind him. There is no question of allowing him the luxury of consolidation of two suits only because the District Judge had allowed for transfer of the case to that Court. I will make no intervention now at this time. The petitioner is at liberty to apply to this Court or to the very same Court where the case is pending as and when he is impleaded as party in the suit filed by the defendant against the public authorities. If such an application were to be filed before the Court below, the Court will consider the same without in any way being affected by any decision

which is made subject of revision petition before this Court.

2. With the above observations, the revision petition is disposed of.

(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE

September 09, 2015
Pankaj*