

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.5287 of 2012 (O&M)
Date of decision:26.03.2015

Dapinder Kaur Sandhu

... Petitioner

versus

Gurinderjit Singh and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: None.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. There is a written request for an adjournment on behalf of the counsel for the petitioner. I have gone through the file and have proceeded to dispose of the case.

2. In a suit for mandatory injunction for effecting reconnection by a lessee against the Electricity Corporation, the owner of the premises has moved an application for impleadment. The contention is that the disconnection was effected only at her instance for an unauthorized action on the part of the lessee and that her presence is necessary. Though the court has found that the presence of the owner is not necessary since no relief is asked, I would hold that the owner is a proper party to the proceeding where she owns up her act and states that the disconnection itself was effected only at her instance. It is only appropriate that the owner is

heard before any relief is granted by the court. I set aside the order
and allow the civil revision.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

26.03.2015
sanjeev