

**115 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.5439 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: August 24, 2015**

Jagwant Singh

.... Petitioner

vs.

Dilbag Singh and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Rajesh Kumar Girdhar, Advocate for the petitioner.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 12.05.2015 (Annexure P-2) passed by learned Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Sri Muktsar Sahib, vide which in an application filed by the respondents under Order 7, Rule 11 CPC, the plaintiffs-petitioners were directed to affix the court fee on the market value of the subject matter and were directed to pay the same on 29.05.2015.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have also carefully gone through the case file.

In nutshell, the plaintiff-petitioner has filed a suit for declaration claiming that he is owner and in possession of the suit land. He has also challenged certain sale deeds executed by

defendant No.1 as his General Power of Attorney, claiming that these are illegal and with intention to grab his valuable property.

The General Power of Attorney has not been challenged as illegal. It would mean that the sale deeds executed by defendant No.1 as General Power of Attorney of plaintiff are in fact the sale by the plaintiff.

Since, the sale deeds executed on behalf of the plaintiff has been challenged, therefore, there is no error in the impugned order directing the plaintiff to affix the court fee on the market value of the subject matter of the sale deed.

It being so, the present revision petition is without any merit and the same is accordingly dismissed.

August 24, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE