

**256 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.5405 of 2015 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision: October 01, 2015**

**M/s Friend Traders**

**.... Petitioner**

**vs.**

**Punjab State Civil Supplies Corp. Ltd. & others ... Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

**Present:** Mr. Naresh Parbhakar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Deepali Puri, Advocate for respondent No.1.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

**Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)**

Challenged in the present revision petition is the order dated 04.07.2015 (Annexure P-2) passed by learned Addl. District Judge, Jalandhar, whereby the court refused to adjourn the execution proceedings sine-die.

It was claimed by the petitioner before the lower court that the matter is pending under Section 17 of the Securitization and Reconstruction of Financial Assests and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002 (in short 'the SRFAESI Act') before the Debt Recovery Tribunal. Therefore, the said prayer was made.

I am of the view that if the applicant-petitioner feels that the proceedings before the civil court are barred under Section 34 of the SRFAESI Act, it is always open to him to file appropriate

application for dropping the execution proceedings. However, adjourning the proceedings sine-die is not a solution to the real issue.

As such, the present revision petition is disposed of with liberty to file appropriate application, if so desired.

**October 01, 2015**  
sarita

**(KULDIP SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**