

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.5384 of 2015

Date of decision: 07.09.2015

Shambu Ram

.....Petitioner

versus

Darshan Ram and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Zorawar Singh Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Impugned in the present revision is order dated 10.7.2015, passed by the learned Additional District Judge, Jalandhar, affirming the order dated 17.5.2014, passed by the learned Civil Judge, Junior Division, Phillaur, vide which application of the plaintiff/petitioner for grant of ad-interim injunction was dismissed.

Plaintiff claims an oral agreement of sale of the total property measuring 20 marlas in pursuance to which sale deed regarding part of the land i.e. 15 marlas was executed on 2.11.2011 and on 21.3.2012 second sale deed was executed of land measuring 2 marlas. The sale deed regarding remaining 3 marla was not executed. Plaintiff claims that he is in possession of the suit land and seeks permanent injunction. The plaintiff has got equally

efficacious remedy of filing suit for specific performance since part performance of the agreement has been refused.

Both the Courts below have refused the ad-interim injunction. In exercising the revisional powers, this Court does not find any reason to interfere in the same.

The revision stands dismissed.

07.09.2015

gk

**(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**