

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 5040 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision: April 21, 2015

M/s Tarsem Chand Vijay Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Amit Bansal

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. **Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. Ajaivir Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naresh Jain, Advocate,
for the respondent.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

The counsel for the petitioner has produced proof of payment of arrears of rent. The counsel for the respondent says that time need not be extended beyond 31st October, 2015, the date by which on the previous hearing the tenant stated he will vacate. I will make no modification to what was already submitted before this court as time for vacation since such extension of time is not agreed to by the respondent. The revision petition is disposed of and the time is granted to vacate the demised premises by 31st October, 2015. The respondent will be at liberty to withdraw the amount deposited by the petitioner.

April 21, 2015
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE