

**108 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.5319 of 2015
Date of Decision: August 24, 2015**

Palvinder Singh

.... Petitioner

vs.

Surinder Sharma

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Sanjay Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 04.05.2015 (Annexure P-3) passed by learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Pehowa, vide which the request of the defendant-petitioner for recalling the plaintiff for further cross examination, was dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that when the plaintiff was cross-examined, certain bahi khatas were not produced and the cross examination of the plaintiff qua the bahi khatas could not be conducted due to the negligence of the previous counsel for the petitioner-defendant before the lower court. Now he has engaged the new counsel. When the fact came to the notice of the counsel, the application was filed for recalling the plaintiff for further cross-examination.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, I am of the view that the engagement of new counsel is not a ground to allow the petitioner-defendant to further cross-examine the plaintiff. Earlier, the plaintiff was cross-examined and the necessary questions were put to him.

It being so, there is no illegality or infirmity in the impugned order.

Hence, dismissed.

August 24, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE