

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 5026 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision: May 28, 2015

STL Global Ltd. and others

...Petitioners

Versus

RPS Infrastructure Ltd. and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. Puneet Bali, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Naresh Kumar Joshi, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sunny Deep Joneja, Advocate, for
Mr. Sanjiv Bansal, Advocate,
for respondent No. 1.

Mr. TS Rai, Advocate, for
Mr. Sumit Batra, Advocate,
for respondent No.3.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondents point out that the revision has become infructuous, since the suit itself has been withdrawn and the revision is against the interim order passed in the suit.

The revision is disposed of as having become infructuous.

May 28, 2015
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE