

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR-528-2015

Date of decision: 22.1.2015

Naresh Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

Sita Ram and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.P. NAGRATH

PRESENT: Mr. Vikrant Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

R.P. NAGRATH, J. (ORAL)

After having heard learned counsel for the petitioner, I find that the impugned order dated 4.11.2014 (Annexure P-1) passed by the learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Charkhi Dadri, to be not illegal and rather the amendment was quite justified especially, in view of the subsequent event as mutation in favour of Haryana Urban Development Authority (HUDA) in respect of the land which is forming part of the suit land was sanctioned on 12.3.2013. The suit was initially filed on 27.1.2011. The suit was filed seeking partition of the joint land and the plaintiff-respondents only want to delete that portion of the land which was acquired by HUDA.

In view of the above, there is no ground to interfere in the impugned order.

Dismissed.

The petitioner of course, would be at liberty to file written statement to the amended plaint.

January 22, 2015

rishu

**(R.P. NAGRATH)
JUDGE**