

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.5246 of 2015
Date of Decision: August 18, 2015**

Chanan Kaur

.... Petitioner

vs.

Kalyan Singh and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Jagdeep S. Virk, Advocate for the petitioner.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 15.05.2015 (Annexure P-6) passed by learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Gurdaspur, vide which the application of the plaintiff-petitioner for additional evidence to tender the electricity bill and water supply bill, was dismissed on the ground that the plaintiff has already led evidence and that the plaintiff was granted adequate opportunities to produce the documents during his evidence.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have also carefully gone through the case file.

It comes out that the suit was filed in the year 2010. Now, five years have elapsed. The suit is for permanent injunction for restraining the defendant from interfering in the possession over the disputed property or passing through the passage. It appears that the

plaintiff had consumed many years to conclude his evidence. It is a simple suit for permanent injunction and these documents have to be proved by summoning the witness from the concerned department. Therefore, mere tendering of these documents will not prove these documents. Otherwise also, these documents were within the knowledge and possession of the plaintiff-petitioner.

Therefore, there is no ground to interfere in the impugned order.

Accordingly, the present revision petition stands dismissed.

August 18, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE