

**106 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.5245 of 2015
Date of Decision: August 18, 2015**

Gram Panchayat

.... Petitioner

vs.

Balwinder Singh and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Ms. Jagdeep Bains, Advocate for the petitioner.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 27.11.2014 (Annexure P-1) passed by learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Moga, vide which the evidence of the defendant-petitioner Gram Panchayat, village Pandori Arayan was closed by order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the plaintiff was granted several opportunities and when the evidence of the plaintiff was closed by orders vide order dated 06.10.2014, this Court had allowed him one opportunity.

The defendant-petitioner seeks one opportunity to conclude his evidence.

Therefore, without issuing notice to the opposite party, the petitioner is granted one more opportunity, subject to the payment of costs of ₹2,000/- to the opposite party. The defendant-petitioner shall produce his entire evidence at his own responsibility on the date fixed by the lower court.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed.

Copy of the order be given *dasti* under the signature of Bench Secretary.

August 18, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE