

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No.5227 of 2015(O&M)
Date of decision: 18.09.2015

Sanjeev Goyal

... Petitioner

Versus

Paramjit Singh

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Gulzar Mohd., Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Divanshu Jain, Advocate for the respondent.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that since the order of eviction stands executed and the possession had already been obtained by the respondent-landlord, nothing substantive survives in this petition. And the same be disposed of as having become infructuous.

Ordered, accordingly.

September 18, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge