
In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

Civil Revision No.5195 of 2015

Date of Decision: 05.10.2015.

Khazani Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

Raj Kumar and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Anil Kumar Gahlawat, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is aggrieved by the impugned order dated 13.05.2015 (Annexure P-4) passed by Motor Accidents Claim Tribunal, Panipat (for short 'the Tribunal') whereby in an application for releasing the remaining 50% amount lying deposited in shape of FDR, out of said 50% lying in FDR, 50% of amount of FDR was ordered to be kept in FDR.

It comes out that an award was passed by the Tribunal, on account of death of son of the applicant-petitioner wherein 50% amount was ordered to be kept in FDR for three years. The said FDR has now matured.

I am of the view that the compensation has been

awarded to the applicant and the applicant wants to utilize the same for some purpose. The Tribunal should not sit over the application of the petitioner and should not insist that 50% of amount of FDR be kept in FDR. Therefore, the impugned order is set aside. The entire remaining amount is ordered to be released in terms of the award.

October 05, 2015

Ankur

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**