

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.4888 of 2014

Date of decision: 03.12.2015

Ramesh Kumar

.....Petitioner

versus

Harbhajan Singh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Jagtar Kureel , Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Sumit Gupta, Advocate for respondent No.1

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Impugned in the present revision is the order dated 6.01.2012 (Annexure P10), passed by the learned Civil Judge, Junior Division, Karnal, vide which in an application under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2 read with Section 151 CPC, the present petitioner was restrained from interfering in the possession of the plaintiff over the disputed land. Appeal against the said order was dismissed by the learned Additional District Judge, Karnal vide order dated 18.4.2014 (Annexure P12), holding that the plaintiff has already closed the evidence before the lower Court and suit is at the stage of recording the evidence of defendant. Therefore, the said position does not warrant any interference and appeal was also dismissed accordingly.

This Court has been informed that now except one or two

witnesses, the evidence of the defendant is almost complete and that the case is ripe.

In view of the matter and in view of the concurrent findings of the two Courts below, I do not find any ground to interfere in the impugned orders as any comments made by this Court while disposing of the revision may act against the interest of one or the other party.

It being so, the present revision is dismissed. However, the lower Court is directed to dispose of the case within three months.

03.12.2015

gk

**(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**