

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

CR-6097-2004

Decided on: 06.05.2015

Varinder Kaur

.....Petitioner

versus

Pritpal Singh

.....Respondent

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.KANNAN

Present: Mr.R.P.Kansal , Advocate, for the petitioner.

K.KANNAN, J (ORAL)

The petition is for enhancement of maintenance in a matrimonial proceeding initiated by the wife against the respondent. She has claimed ₹30,000/- for maintenance on a plea by her that the husband owns several valuable properties in New Delhi and his monthly income by way of rentals is about ₹2,70,000/-.

The respondent denied the contention and also stated that the wife is running a Beauty Parlour and is earning about ₹20,000/-. The Court accepted the plea and allowed for ₹1,000/- only.

Since the revision is of the year 2004 against interim maintenance, I asked the counsel whether the matrimonial proceedings are still pending for more than a decade. The counsel is unable to vouch for its pendency.

In this case the papers have been burnt and even the papers have not been reconstructed inspite of request for reconstruction of the papers. I will not find there is any situation for adjourning the case and I proceed to dispose of the case on the belief that the case is still pending. I increase the past maintenance from ₹1,000/- to ₹5,000/- and future maintenance at ₹10,000/- per month from the date of the order passed now. This order will be effective if only the matrimonial proceeding is still pending. If it has been already disposed of then the provision for past and future maintenance at the rates mentioned will not take effect.

The revision petition is disposed of accordingly.

06.05.2015

mamta

(K.KANNAN)

JUDGE