

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.4879 of 2014

Date of decision: 02.11.2015

Gurwinder Kaur

.....Petitioner

versus

Manjit Kaur and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.B.P.S.Virk, Advocate for
Mr.Satpal Singh, Advocate for the petitioner
None for respondent No.1
Mr.T.N.Sarup, Addl.A.G. Punjab
for the respondent Nos.2 and 3

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in the election petition, the present petitioner was respondent No.1 and was proceeded ex-parte vide order dated 5.9.2013. Her application for setting aside the ex-parte proceedings has been dismissed vide impugned order dated 29.5.2014. Request is that she should be allowed to file the written statement to contest the petition.

The petitioner before the Election Tribunal (respondent No.1 herein) has not put in appearance despite service.

The application was dismissed on the ground that it has been filed after 82 days. However, the interest of justice requires that

the matter should be decided on merits. Hence, ex-parte proceedings dated 5.9.2013 against the present petitioner are set aside and it is ordered that she should be allowed to contest the petition.

The revision is accordingly allowed.

02.11.2015

gk

**(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**