

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.5155 of 2015
Date of decision : 14.08.2015

Shiv Jyoti Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

Narender Kumar

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner/defendant has filed the present petition for setting aside the impugned order dated 12.05.2015 (Annexure P-6), whereby evidence of the petitioner/defendant has been closed in a suit filed in the year 2012.

Mr. Namit Khurana, learned counsel appearing on behalf of petitioner submits that petitioner/defendant be permitted to conclude evidence in case one opportunity is granted to lead evidence.

The aforementioned submission of learned counsel for the petitioner is fair, legal and justified.

In order to meet the ends of justice, I deem it appropriate to grant one opportunity to the petitioner/defendant to lead evidence.

Accordingly, order dated 12.05.2015 (Annexure P-6) is set aside.

The trial Court shall permit the petitioner/defendant to lead evidence in aforementioned terms, subject to cost of ₹2500/-.

With the aforementioned observations, the petition stands disposed of.

14.08.2015

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**