

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.4860 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: 02.07.2015

Ravinderjit Kaur

... Petitioner

versus

M/s Shahanshah Fashions Pvt. Ltd., Ghumar Mandi, Ludhiana, and
another.

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr.Namit Gautam, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. N.K. Vadhera, Advocate,
for the respondents.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ? No.
2. To be referred to the reporters or not ? No.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ? No.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. In a revision filed against the interim order determining provisional rent by the Rent Controller, the objection taken by the respondents is that it is an appealable order as per the decision of the Supreme Court in **Harjit Singh Uppal Versus Anup Bansal-(2011) 11 SCC 672** and as explained by a Division Bench of this court in **Trilok Singh Anand and M/s Prem Chand and Sons and others-2012(4) Civil Court Cases 87**. In the light of the objection taken

and in the light of law laid down, the petitioner will either take the return of the papers from the Registry and present it as an appeal or may file a fresh appeal taking back the decretal order issued by the court below for the purpose of calculating the period of limitation. If there is any delay in presenting the appeal, the petitioner may explain the delay in an application under Section 14 setting out the pendency of the case before this court. The appellate court will consider the same and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law.

2. Civil revision stands disposed of as above.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

02.07.2015
sanjeev