

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

114

**Civil Revision No.5116 of 2015
Date of Decision:13.08.2015**

Jee Ram

....petitioner

Versus

Ramesh Yadav

.....respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

- 1.Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr.P.R.Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner

ARUN PALLI, J.(ORAL):-

Vide order being assailed dated 07.01.2015 (Annexure P5), rendered by Civil Judge (Junior Division), Gurgaon, prayer of the respondent-plaintiff for amendment in the suit has since been granted.

Concededly, respondent-plaintiff had filed a suit for injunction and vide an amendment that has been granted, he has been permitted to pray for possession by way of specific performance of the agreement. Undoubtedly, the amendment that has been granted would avoid the multiplicity of litigation. The suit is still at the initial stage and even the issues are yet to be framed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner could not point out as to how the conclusions arrived at by the learned trial Court were

either contrary to the position on record or erroneous in law. That being so, no interference is warranted in the discretion that has justly been exercised by the trial Court. The petition being devoid of merit is accordingly dismissed.

13.08.2015

neenu

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE