

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 5039 of 2015 (O/M)
Date of decision : 10.8.2015

Sucha Singh Revisionist
Versus

Gurmeet Singh and another Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate, for the revisionist.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- .- -.-

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

A perusal of the impugned order shows that the evidence was closed on the statement made by the learned counsel for the revisionist.

The contention of the learned counsel for the revisionist cannot be believed that he has authorized only Capt. Inderpreet Sareen, Advocate, to appear on his behalf and that said Advocate obtained the signatures of some other advocate, who made the statement before the lower Court. Similarly, if 'no objection' of the previous counsel was not taken, this is no ground to set aside the order. If the revisionist feels that he is still to lead some evidence, it is always open to move an application for additional evidence before the lower Court.

CR No. 5039 of 2015 (O/M)

With these observations, the present revision is
dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

10.8.2015
sjks