

**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 4893 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of decision: March 13, 2015

Nikhil Bhagat

...Petitioner

Versus

Usha Rani Bhagat and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

1. The plaintiff, who stated that he is entitled to 1/6th share and the sale deed executed in favour of the defendants by his father as not binding on him, was asked to pay ad-valorem court fee for his 1/6th share. When the plaintiff himself is not a party and he does not seek for possession and he merely seeks for declaration that the sale deed in respect of the 1/6th share will not be binding on him, ad-valorem court fee is not necessary.

2. The impugned order is set aside and the revision petition is allowed.

March 13, 2015
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE