

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.4708 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision: 06.05.2015

Mandeep Singh

... Petitioner

versus

Anju

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. N.P.S. Kohli, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Abhinav Gupta, Advocate for the respondent.

K.Kannan, J.

An Assistant Manager in public sector Bank would not be satisfied with the income which she is earning and wants additional maintenance. The wife would admit that she is earning ₹ 25,000/- and would also admit that she has secured ₹ 7,500/- for minor child as maintenance in the petition filed before the Magistrate Court. The maintenance in matrimonial proceedings are awarded only to ensure that no party is in a state of deprivation and the parties must be at reasonable level of comfort so that there is particularly no disability or handicap suffered by the party for effectively prosecuting the case.

An Assistant Manager to complain that her income is not sufficient even when she has obtained provisions for maintenance for her minor child would not appear to be fair. She must learn as a banker to arrange her own finances better and does not escalate the claim further. The wife's grievance is that her husband is earning ₹ 50,000/-, he must make it possible for her to live more comfortably living at par with her husband. She is now made to pay rent out of her earning and therefore must be provided additional sums. I reject this contention as untenable, for equality of parties about how each one of them earns is

not a point at issue at this stage.

The maintenance awarded by the court below is set aside
and the civil revision is allowed.

06.05.2015
kv

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE