

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.13807 of 1993
Date of decision : 06.05.2015

Ved Parkash

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

As per office report, notice sent to the petitioner has been received back unserved as he has retired.

In the memo of parites, official address of petitioner has been given.

It appears that nobody is interested in pursuing the writ petition.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

However, liberty is granted to the petitioner for revival of the petition in case any cause of action survived in the present writ petition.

06.05.2015

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**