

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 4679 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: 28.08.2015

Ranjeet Kaur and others

... Petitioners

versus

Harnek Singh and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: None for the petitioners.

K.Kannan, J.

There is a written request for an adjournment in the civil revision filed against the order dismissing the plea for injunction against the 1st defendant from alienating the property. I find that ever since the revision was filed on 16.07.2014, there have been only persistent requests for adjournments. I have, therefore, examined the papers and proceed to pass the orders.

The suit for injunction is filed by a daughter against the father contending that he has entered into some kind of marriage with 2nd defendant which is not valid. The Court has held that there is no prima facie proof of the joint family character of the properties and the property was got by the father through a decree and if he was making any alienation, the plaintiff will not still to be prejudiced since the doctrine of

lis pendens will take care. Where there is a no strong prima facie case, the Court was justified in making the observation that daughter will not be prejudiced, even the sale was held to be not valid at the conclusion of trial.

I do not think any case for intervention is made out. The civil revision petition is dismissed with above observations.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

28.08.2015

kv