

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.4960 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 06.08.2015

Shyam Singh

.... Petitioner

versus

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam, and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. There is no justification for the petitioner to arrive in this court to contend that the counsel could not be present to cross-examine him for compulsive reasons. Such reasons are not brought before me and if there are such reasons given at all, it should have been brought before the very same court to seek for such opportunity. The manner of how such approach shall be done has been brought-forth through the judgment of this court in **Santosh Kumar Berry Versus Nirmala Devi and others-2013(1) PLR 404.**

2. The petitioner is at liberty to approach the court below to give reasons for non-appearance of the counsel or the inability of the counsel to cross-examine the witness on the several dates when the case was posted. If such reasons are given and the court feels satisfied about the reasons, it may pass appropriate orders, allowing

for the cross examination of DW1.

3. Revision petition is dismissed but with the liberty to approach the trial Court in the manner set forth above.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

06.08.2015
sanjeev