

231.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.467 of 2014

Date of decision:03.11.2015

Kailash Chand Kalra

.... Petitioner

versus

Municipal Council, Palwal and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sajjan Singh, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. I do not think a statutory amendment in the Ancient Monuments Archaeological Sites and Remains (Amendment and Validation) Act, 2010 (10 of 2010) could be a cause of action for amendment to a written statement. If the amendment protects his possession, he may use such a reading of the provision to his advantage. It requires no amendment of the pleadings and the dismissal of the petition for amendment is upheld with the above observations.

2. Civil revision is dismissed.

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

03.11.2015

sanjeev