

**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 4832 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of decision: July 20, 2015

Punjab & Sind Bank and another

...Petitioner

Versus

Smt. Davinder Kaur

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. **Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. Suresh Goel, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. BD Sharma, Advocate,
for the respondent.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

The counsel for the respondent points out to the fact that the revision has become infructuous since the interim order passed in the suit giving some direction has subsequently resulted in the disposal of the suit itself. The revision petition has, thus, become infructuous and is disposed of as such.

July 20, 2015
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE