

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.4757 of 2012 (O&M)

Date of decision: 20.07.2015

Mohinder Kaur

... Petitioner

versus

Kanwaljit Kaur and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr.Viren Jain, Advocate,
for Mr. Piyush Kant Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

None for the respondents.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ? No.
2. To be referred to the reporters or not ? No.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ? No.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. The revision petition is against an order passed by the Executing Court making some changes in the draft sale deed submitted by the decree-holder. The contention is that the recitals in the draft sale deed with reference to the new municipal number, extent and consideration and the description had been correlated with reference to the site plan furnished along with the execution petition and all these details were required to be given only because at the time when the agreement was made in the year 1972, the

municipal number was different and there was no felt need for describing the extent, consideration and other details. When the execution petition was filed, the judgment debtor had other objections, all of which have been declined. The Executing Court itself has taken up some objections with reference to the description which was not even entered in contest by the judgment debtors.

2. The respondents have been served through substituted service and there is no objection taken for the contentions taken by the decree-holder/revision petitioner.

3. The impugned order is set aside and the revision petition is allowed. The court shall take the sale deed in the manner prepared in conformity with the draft sale deed and carry through execution in accordance with law.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

20.07.2015
sanjeev