

112

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.4898 of 2015

Date of decision: August 05, 2015

Sadhu Ram and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

Ruldu Ram and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. H.S. Dhandi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

SABINA, J

Petitioners have filed this petition challenging the order dated 16.07.2015.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that notice dated 11.12.2009 and the acknowledgment dated 14.12.2009 were very necessary to be brought on record and in this regard, PW1 was liable to be recalled for further examination.

Petitioners have filed suit for declaration. It has been noticed by the trial Court while passing the impugned order that, the issues were framed by the trial Court on 03.11.2009. Thereafter, petitioners availed thirty five opportunities to enable them to conclude their evidence. The documents now sought to be brought on record pertain to the year 2009. Thus, the documents now sought to be brought on record were in the knowledge of the petitioners when they led their evidence. The application for permission to lead additional evidence was filed at the stage, when the case was listed for arguments. In these circumstances, the learned trial Court rightly held that the application has been filed at a belated stage and was liable to be dismissed.

No ground for interference by this Court, is made out.

Dismissed.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

August 05, 2015

mahavir