

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

219

**Civil Revision No.4771 of 2013
Date of Decision:19.11.2015**

Harnek Singh

....petitioner

Versus

Anil Kumar

.....respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

- 1.Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr.R.L.Batta, Senior Advocate with
Mr.M.K.Sajjan, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr.Namit Gautam, Advocate
for the respondent

ARUN PALLI, J.(ORAL):-

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that as the respondent has already surrendered possession, nothing substantive survives in the petition and the same be dismissed as infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

19.11.2015
neenu

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**