

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

C.M. NOS.15532-33 CII OF 2015 &  
C.R. NO.4854 OF 2015

DATE OF DECISION: OCTOBER 29, 2015

Shri Jainendra Gurukul, Panchkula

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

Lala Ram deceased through his legal heir Luxman Dass

....Respondent

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH**

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Adarsh Jain, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

None for the respondent.

\*\*\*\*\*

**AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)**

Counsel for the petitioner states that the present revision petition has been rendered infructuous as on the basis of compromise, which has been entered into between the parties, the respondent-tenant has vacated the premises.

In view of the statement made by counsel for the petitioner, the present revision petition is disposed of as infructuous.

**October 29, 2015**  
**khurmi**

**( AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH )**  
**JUDGE**