

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.468 of 2012 (O&M)
Date of decision: 26.11.2015**

Anil Kumar

... Petitioner

versus

Dharam Parkash and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Munish Mittal, Advocate for the petitioner.

K.Kannan, J.

Counsel for the petitioner states that the revision which is against the interim order has become infructuous since the suit itself has been disposed of.

The civil revision petition is dismissed as having become infructuous, with liberty, however, for any party to move an application for rehearing, if the representation made by the counsel for the petitioner is found to be not correct.

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

26.11.2015

kv