

211.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.4679 of 2012 (O&M)

Date of decision: 07.12.2015

Jasbir Singh Khurana

... Petitioner

versus

Harnek Singh

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr.Gursimran S. Jossan, Advocate,
for Mr. Vaibhav Sehgal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vishal Deep Goyal, Advocate,
for Mr. B.P.S. Gill, Advocate,
for the respondent.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. There can be no objection to allow for secondary evidence to be brought in subject to the proof. Admission will not be taken as allowing for the plaintiff to rely on the recitals if the conditions of Section 65 of the Evidence Act are not proved.

2. The order already passed is maintained and the trial will progress in accordance with law.

3. Revision petition is disposed of as above.

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

07.12.2015

sanjeev