

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.4828 of 2015

Date of Decision.03.08.2015

Rakesh Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

Satish Kumar and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. KDS Sodhi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-. -

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The petition for recall of the order closing the respondent's evidence was dismissed. The Tribunal while dismissing the application has observed that the petitioner had availed six opportunities to file his evidence and only when there was no evidence, he had closed his case and there was no ground made for recall of the witness.

2. The counsel for the petitioner says that the lawyers were boycotting for last three hearings and therefore, he could not produce his evidence. I do not find any such ground made. I wanted to assure to myself that there was some case made before me enabling the petitioner to have an opportunity for letting in evidence. He says that he is registered owner of the vehicle and admittedly, the vehicle had been involved in the accident. He had not even insured the vehicle. He will have no luxury of endless trial in a situation where at least, prima facie, it seems that there was any hardly any defence to offer.

3. I will not make any intervention and the revision petition is dismissed. The observations made above are only for the purpose of disposal of the revision petition and will not come in the way of making substantive arguments which he wants to make before the Tribunal.

(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE

August 03, 2015
Pankaj*