

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR-48-2015 (O&M)
Decided on: May 4, 2015.

Tehal Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

Charanjit Kaur and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Digvijay Nagpal, Advocate,
for respondents No.1 and 3.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

This revision petition has been filed against order dated 16.09.2014, allowing the application of the respondents for grant of interim maintenance in a petition under Sections 19 and 22 of the Hindu Adoption and Maintenance Act. Respondent No.1 is the daughter-in-law of the petitioner whereas the husband of respondent No.1 has unfortunately expired. Respondents have also sought to create a charge in the property mentioned in the heading of the petition, besides seeking injunction against the petitioner to alienate the property in any manner.

The matter has been received back from the Mediation Centre as the matter could not be amicably resolved.

I have carefully gone through the facts and circumstances of the case. A sum of Rs.3,000/- in the interest of justice has been awarded to the respondents as an interim relief during the pendency of the petition for grant of maintenance under the Hindu Adoption and Maintenance Act.

The trial Court in the exercise of enhanced jurisdiction, in the interest of justice, has granted the relief. The relationship of the petitioner to the respondents and the interest of respondents in the property in dispute is not disputed at this stage. No ground is made out for interference in the interim maintenance to the respondents at the rate of Rs.3,000/- per month which is bare minimum for survival in the present time of escalating prices.

Dismissed.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

May 4, 2015
anju rani