

**109 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.4773 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: August 10, 2015**

Misra Singh Randhava

.... Petitioner.

vs.

Shivji Ram

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Madan Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 13.07.2015 (Annexure P-1) passed by learned Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Mansa, wherein the learned Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Mansa allowed the request of the plaintiff to fix the requisite stamp duty on pronote and receipt (Ex.P-2) along with the penalty.

Suffice to say that in a case on the basis of pronote and receipt, the stamp duty of 20 paise was affixed on the receipt (Ex.P-2). It was found that the said stamp is insufficient and the stamp duty of ₹1/- was required to be affixed.

After hearing learned counsel for the revisionist, I find that there is nothing illegal in the order of the Court ordering the affixation of the proper stamp duty on the receipt (Ex.P-2) along with penalty.

The fact that the order was passed at the belated stage of the suit, is no ground to interfere in the same.

Hence, the present revision petition is dismissed.

August 10, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE