

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 4711 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: November 16, 2015

Chaman Lal

...Petitioner

Versus

Madan Lal and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. **Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. Karanjit Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

1. The revision is against an order dismissing an application for review of the order passed by the executing court. The application was filed by the judgment-debtor seeking for the direction for execution of the sale deed and for delivery of possession. It would appear that the decree was passed ex-parte on 1.10.2007 providing for balance of consideration of Rs. 4628.25 paise to be paid within a period of one month. It is admitted that the balance was paid on 19.10.2007. However, further process in execution was delayed by the fact that the judgment-debtor filed an application to set aside the decree. The application was dismissed and the appeal was also dismissed.

2. If the decree had become final and the plaintiff having paid the balance of consideration in a Court, which was executing the decree for

further process to secure the sale deed and obtained possession, there was no scope for any valid objection in the course of execution. The dismissal of the application made by the court finding that there was no warrant to review the decision was perfectly tenable.

3. There is no merit in the revision. The same is dismissed.

November 16, 2015
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE