

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 6005 of 2001 (O&M)  
Date of decision: April 29, 2015

Faquir Chand and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Baldev Singh

...Respondent

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN**

1. **Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. Sapan Dhir, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

Mr. RS Mamli, Advocate,  
for the respondent.

**K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)**

1. An order declining additional evidence to be given by the plaintiff in the course of trial to admit a certified copy of the compromise decree brought about in the earlier proceedings is the subject of revision. The trial of the suit was stayed in the year 2001 and the case has been pending for the last 14 years to consider whether a document is to be received or not. There need be no further elaboration of the absurd situation of trial of a suit for consideration of whether a document is to be entertained or not. We have mindlessly allowed for the injustice to be perpetrated by staying trial of the suit and keeping on board the court records for a decade or more. The irreverence to justice shall not continue for a day more. The order passed is set aside. The document sought to be produced is permitted to be produced and for the delay caused, I will only express regrets and

hope that it does not recur. The document shall be proved in the manner known to law and if the respondent has any evidence to offer, he may also be at liberty to give such evidence as he thinks necessary. Let good sense prevail for parties to conclude the proceedings at the earliest. They will at least make way for the case to travel to the next tier of adjudication and hope for conclusion in another few decades before it could lodge itself in our courts. For the inconvenience resulting in long pendency of the case, the petitioners shall pay costs of ₹ 15,000/- to the respondent within two weeks from today.

2. The revision petition is allowed on the above terms.

**April 29, 2015**  
prem

**(K.KANNAN)**  
**JUDGE**