

**221 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.4542 of 2013
Date of Decision: August 25, 2015**

Nanak Singh and others

.... Petitioners

vs.

Mohinder Singh and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Amandeep Singh, Advocate for the respondents.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Despite second call, none has appeared on behalf of the petitioners. Therefore, I proceed to dispose of the matter.

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 23.05.2013 (Annexure P-7) passed by learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Anandpur Sahib vide which during the proceedings in the final decree, Halqa Kanugo was appointed as Local Commissioner to suggest the mode of partition.

None has appeared for the petitioners to address arguments.

I have heard learned counsel for the respondents and have also carefully gone through the impugned order.

In this case, preliminary decree for partition was passed, which had attained finality. Now the application for final decree has been filed, in which the local commissioner has been appointed. In the final decree, only the partition by metes and bounds is to be done which is only possible by appointment of the local commissioner to suggest the mode of partition.

It being so, there is no illegality or infirmity in the impugned order.

Accordingly, the present revision petition stands dismissed.

August 25, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE