

**211 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.4412 of 2012
Date of Decision: September 08, 2015**

Kitabo and another Petitioners

vs.

Dalbir and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. D.S. Nain, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Arvind Bansal, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that under Order XXI, Rule 58, sub Rule 4 CPC, the impugned order is appealable. Therefore, he wants to withdraw the present revision petition with liberty to file the appeal before the Appellate Court.

Dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.

The petitioner shall also be at liberty to file an application for condonation of delay. The time consumed in pursuing remedy before this Court shall be excluded while computing the period of limitation.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

September 08, 2015
sarita