

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

215

**Civil Revision No.4396 of 2012
Date of Decision:01.12.2015**

Mohan Singh

....petitioner

Versus

Pardeep Kaur and another

.....respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr.Abhivadya Sood, Advocate for
Mr.Rakesh Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr.A.P.Kaushal, Advocate
for the respondents

ARUN PALLI, J.(ORAL):-

Learned counsel for the respondents submits, at the outset, that as the suit itself has been decided by the trial Court, vide judgement and decree dated 30.07.2015, nothing substantive survives in the present petition and the same be disposed of, as having become infructuous.

Per contra, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that although he does not have any concrete instructions, in this regard, but let the petition be dismissed as having become infructuous, with a liberty that, in case, any interest, dispute or cause of action still survives, petitioner shall be at liberty to move an appropriate application for restoration of revision for its decision on merits.

Dismissed as infructuous, with liberty as prayed for.

01.12.2015

neenu

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**