

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.4547 of 2015

Date of Decision.22.07.2015

Gaurav Gupta

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Sandeep Khunger, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The order passed by the Court below is needlessly technical and does not address the cause of justice. The order is untenable. The petitioner will have a right of bringing his witness for cross-examination and the trial will proceed from thereon.

2. The impugned order is set aside and the revision petition is allowed. I dispense with notice to the respondents, for, I am not entering into the merits of the case but I am only addressing the correctness of the order which is patently untenable.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

July 22, 2015
Pankaj*