

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 4266 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: November 26, 2015

Surjit Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

Ranjit Kaur and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. Amit Saini, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Arvind Kashyap, Advocate,
for respondents No. 1 to 4.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

1. The plaintiff is aggrieved that the court declined to issue summons for the witnesses and also declined permission sought for examining them on commission.

2. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents points out that apart from payment of diet money, the plaintiff did not take steps to summon the witnesses.

3. The order declining to issue summon is set aside and the plaintiff shall be at liberty to furnish summons form in court and the court shall issue summons to them at the addresses, which the plaintiff shall be appropriately furnish. The summons shall be issued through proper process of the court within whose jurisdiction the respective parties are residing.

4. The order already passed stands modified and the revision petition is ordered on the above terms.

November 26, 2015
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE